Case 1:18-cr-20108-CMA Document 1 Entered on FLSD Docket 02/20/2018

Feb 20, 2018

STEVEN M. LARIMORE CLERK U.S. DIST. CT. S.D. OF FLA. – MIAMI

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA 18-20108-CR-ALTONAGA/GOODMAN

18 U.S.C. § 1956(h) 18 U.S.C. § 982

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

VS.

ARTURO ESCOBAR DOMINGUEZ,

Defendant.

Case No.

INFORMATION

The United States charges that:

CONSPIRACY TO COMMIT MONEY LAUNDERING (18 U.S.C. § 1956)

Beginning in or around December 2012, through in or around at least September 2014, in Miami-Dade County, in the Southern District of Florida, and elsewhere, the defendant,

ARTURO ESCOBAR DOMINGUEZ,

did knowingly and willfully combine, conspire, confederate, and agree, with others known and unknown to the United States Attorney, to violate Title 18, United States Code, Section 1956, that is:

a. to knowingly conduct a financial transaction affecting interstate commerce, which transaction involved the proceeds of specified unlawful activity, knowing that the property involved in the financial transaction represented the proceeds of some form of unlawful activity, and knowing that such transaction was designed in whole and in part to conceal and disguise the nature, the location, the source, the ownership and the control of the proceeds of specified unlawful activity, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1956(a)(1)(B)(i); and

b. to transport, transmit and transfer funds to or through a place in the United States from a place outside the United States and from a place in the United States to or through a place outside the United States, knowing that the funds involved in the transportation, transmission and transfer represented the proceeds of some form of unlawful activity, and knowing that the transportation, transmission and transfer was designed in whole and in part to conceal and disguise the nature, the location, the source, the ownership and the control of the proceeds of specified unlawful activity, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1956(a)(2)(B)(i).

It is further alleged that the specified unlawful activities are: (i) a violation of the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act ("FCPA"), in violation of Title 15, United States Code, Section 78dd-2; and (ii) an offense against a foreign nation involving bribery of a public official in violation of foreign law, specifically the Ecuadorian Penal Code, pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Section 1956(c)(7)(B)(iv).

All in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1956(h).

FORFEITURE (18 U.S.C. § 982)

- 1. The allegations contained in this Information are re-alleged and incorporated by reference as though fully set forth herein for the purpose of alleging forfeiture to the United States of certain property in which the defendant, **ARTURO ESCOBAR DOMINGUEZ**, has an interest.
- 2. Upon conviction of a violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1956, as alleged in this Information, the defendant shall forfeit to the United States all property, real or personal, involved in such offense, or any property traceable to such property, pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Section 982(a)(1).

All pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Section 982(a)(1) and the procedures set forth in Title 21, United States Code, Section 853, as incorporated by Title 18, United States Code, Section 982(b)(1).

BENJAMIN G. GREENBERG UNITED STATES ATTORNEY

KAREN E. ROCHLIN

ASSISTANT UNITED STATES ATTORNEY

SANDRA L. MOSER, ACTING CHIEF CRIMINAL DIVISION, FRAUD SECTION U.S. DEBARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Bv:

LORINDA LARYEA, TRIAL ATTORNEY

DAVID FUHR, TRIAL ATTORNEY

CRIMINAL DIVISION, FRAUD SECTION

DEBORAH L. CONNOR, ACTING CHIEF

CRIMINAL DIVISION, MONEY LAUNDERING

& ASSET, RECOVERY SECTION, U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Bv:

~RANDALL WARDEN, TRIAL ACTORNEY

MARY ANN MCCARTHY, TRIAL ATTORNEY

CRIMINAL DIVISION, MONEY LAUNDERING

& ASSET RECOVERY SECTION, U. S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA			CASE NO.	
v.				
ARTU	RO ESC	OBAR DOMINGUEZ,	CERTIFICATE OF TRIAL ATTORNEY*	
Defendant.			Superseding Case Information:	
Court X	Division Miami	n: (Select One) Key Wêst	New Defendant(s) Number of New Defendants Total number of counts Yes No No No No No No No No No N	
	FTL	WPB FTP		
	I do he	reby certify that:		
	1.	I have carefully considered the of probable witnesses and the l	allegations of the indictment, the number of defendants, the number egal complexities of the Indictment/Information attached hereto.	
	2.	I am aware that the information Court in setting their calendars Act, Title 28 U.S.C. Section 31	n supplied on this statement will be relied upon by the Judges of this and scheduling criminal trials under the mandate of the Speedy Trial 61.	
	3.	Interpreter: (Yes or No) List language and/or dialect	YES SPANISH	
	4.	This case will take 0	days for the parties to try.	
	5.	Please check appropriate categ	ory and type of offense listed below:	
		(Check only one)	(Check only one)	
	I II III IV V	0 to 5 days 6 to 10 days 11 to 20 days 21 to 60 days 61 days and over	X Petty Minor Misdem. Felony X	
	Has a configuration of the second of the sec	Has this case been previously for copy of dispositive order) complaint been filed in this matter that Case No. If Miscellaneous numbers: lant(s) in federal custody as of lant(s) in state custody as of lant(s) in state custody as of lant(s) from the District of a potential death penalty case? (
	7.	Does this case originate from a prior to October 14, 2003?	matter pending in the Northern Region of the U.S. Attorney's Office Yes NoX KAREN E-ROCHLIN ASSISTANT UNITED STATES ATTORNEY COURT ID No. A5500050	

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA

PENALTY SHEET

Defendant's Name: ARTURO ESCOBAR DOMINGUEZ				
Case No:				
Count #: 1				
Conspiracy to Commit Money Laundering				
Title 18, United States Code, Section 1956				
* Max. Penalty: Twenty (20) years' imprisonment				
Count #:				
*Max. Penalty:				
Count #:				
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
*Max. Penalty:				
Count #:				
*Max. Penalty:				

^{*}Refers only to possible term of incarceration, does not include possible fines, restitution, special assessments, parole terms, or forfeitures that may be applicable.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the Southern District of Florida

United States of America)
V) Case No.
ARTURO ESCOBAR DOMINGUEZ))
Defendant)
WAIVER OF A	N INDICTMENT
I understand that I have been accused of one or mor year. I was advised in open court of my rights and the natural	re offenses punishable by imprisonment for more than one re of the proposed charges against me.
After receiving this advice, I waive my right to prosinformation.	secution by indictment and consent to prosecution by
	·
Date:	
	Defendant's signature
	Signature of defendant's attorney
	Printed name of defendant's attorney
•	

Judge's signature

Judge's printed name and title